

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,400	01/27/2004	Robert F. Naylor	KMC-578 3373	
7	7590 03/02/2005		EXAMINER	
Darrell F. Marquette			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
2201 W. Desert Cove Phoenix, AZ 85029			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/766,400	NAYLOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen L. Blau	3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2004.					
2a) <u></u>	<u> </u>					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be a controlled the oath of the oath oa	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(e)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,400 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10 and 17-19, drawn to a method of manufacturing a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 309.
 - Claims 11-16 and 20-23, drawn to a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 309.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of a method of manufacturing a golf club and a golf club are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case a method of manufacturing a club as in claim 1 is able to be practiced on a club with a sleeve which
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

does not have radially extending dimples.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,400 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention whether it is the method claims or the apparatus claims

elected:

Type of Connection.

a. Species 1 (Fig. 4):

b. Species 2 (Fig. 6):

c. Species 3 (Fig. 7):

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, it is uncertain what claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,400 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the complexity of this requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/766,400

Art Unit: 3711

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/23 February 2005

STEPHEN BLAU PRIMARY EXAMINER